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#### Abstract

A morphological and molecular (nucleotide sequences of mitochondrial COI and 16SrDNA as well as nuclear ITS2 gene fragments) study confirms the occurrence of a second species of the genus Monacha Fitzinger 1833 in Poland. This species is identified as Monacha claustralis (Rossmässler 1834) by comparison of specimens from Polish, Czech, Bulgarian and Georgian populations. This is also the first identification of M. claustralis in the Czech Republic.
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## Introduction

Monacha Fitzinger, 1833 (type species Helix cartusiana Müller 1774) is a speciose genus of the hygromiids, widespread in the western Palaearctic from western Europe to north Africa, Iran and Arabia (Hausdorf, 2000a; Welter-Schultes, 2012). It includes a large number of nominal species showing its highest diversity in the eastern sector of southern Europe and in Turkey (Hausdorf, 2000a, 2000b; Welter-Shultes, 2012).

Anthropochorous dispersal has been a major process shaping the geographic distribution of certain species of southern European origin, which reached northern Europe where they were hitherto absent. This is true for several Monacha species, e.g. M. cantiana (Montagu 1803), M. cartusiana and M. claustralis (Rossmässler 1834). The former first arrived in southern Britain in Roman times (Evans, 1972) and later also reached northwest Britain, Scotland, Belgium, the Netherlands, northern Germany and southern Sweden (Kerney \& Cameron, 1979; Welter-Schultes, 2012). Step by step, M. cartusiana colonized various countries of northwest, central and eastern Europe (WelterSchultes, 2012), including Poland, where it was first reported in 1973 by Kosińska (1973). Since then, this species, considered the only member of the genus in Poland, has been reported from an increasing number of sites (Cholewa et al., 2003; Górka, 2005; Lesicki \& Koralewska-Batura, 2007; Stworzewicz \& Górka, 2008; Dembińska \& Gołdyn, 2011). M. claustralis has probably

[^0]an eastern Mediterranean origin (type locality: Kérkira, Greece) since it is widespread in the southern sector of the Balkan and Anatolian peninsulas, occurring in the southern sector of eastern Europe (Bulgaria, Albania, Macedonia, Greece and European Turkey), Crimea and Anatolian Turkey (Welter-Schultes, 1996, 2012; Hausdorf, 2000a; Irikov \& Mollov, 2006; Irikov, 2008; Dhora, 2009). It has frequently been confused with the often sympatric M. cartusiana, due to shell similarity (Figs 1-10) (see also: Hausdorf, 2000a, 2000b; Welter-Schultes, 2012). Indeed, when first recognized anatomically by Pinter (1968) in Bulgaria, the species was described as new and named "dissimulans", a specific epithet indicative of its being cryptic with respect to M. cartusiana.

Polish populations assigned to M. cartusiana showed high variability in shell size (Figs 1-3, 6) and body colour, so that two of us (JP and AL) undertook detailed anatomical investigation, discovering that part of specimens belonged to a second Monacha species (Pieńkowska \& Lesicki, 2011). Based on data in the literature (Pinter, 1968; Hausdorf, 2000a; Irikov, 2008), this species was preliminarily identified as M. claustralis (Pieńkowska et al., 2012).

The aim of this paper is to reach a definitive identification of this second Polish Monacha species, applying detailed comparative analysis of distal genitalia as well as molecular analysis (using nucleotide sequence polymorphism in selected mitochondrial and nuclear genes).


Figures 1-5 Shells of Monacha claustralis, 1-3 Poland, Poznań-Morasko, J.R. Pieńkowska \& A. Lesicki leg. 5.10.2011; 4-5 Bulgaria, Plovdiv, A. Irikov leg. 19.9.2006. 6-10 Shells of Monacha cartusiana, 6 Poland, Wrocław, M. Proćków leg. 15.9.2010; 7 Italy, Lago Lungo (Rieti), F. Giusti leg. 10.8.1967; 8 Italy, Lago di Montepulciano, La Casetta (Siena), G. Manganelli leg. 11.10.1992; 9 Italy, Romiccioli (Grosseto), G. Manganelli leg. 25.8.1991; 10 Italy, Valdibiena (Siena), G. Manganelli leg. 26.10.2013.

## Material and Methods

## Morphological study

About 80 specimens were analysed from 16 sites in Poland, Czech Republic, Hungary, Bulgaria, Italy and United Kingdom (see Appendix 1). Snail bodies were dissected under the light microscope (Wild M5A, or Zeiss SteREO Lumar. V12). Anatomical details were drawn using a Wild camera lucida. Six anatomical variables were measured using a caliper under a light microscope $(0.01 \mathrm{~mm})$ in four populations (selected as representative of M. cartusiana and M. claustralis): F flagellum, E epiphallus (from base of flagellum to beginning of penial sheath), P penis (from beginning of penial sheath to where penis enters genital atrium), DBC duct of bursa copulatrix, DV distal vagina (from outlet of digitiform glands to where penis enters genital atrium), VA vaginal appendix (also known as appendicula).

## DNA extraction, $P C R$ amplification and sequencing

 Total genomic DNA was extracted from 20 mg of snail's foot tissue using Tissue Genomic DNA extraction Mini Kit (Genoplast). Partial sequences of two mitochondrial genes were amplified: cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI: 650-bp-long "barcode sequence"), using primers bcsmF1 and bcsmR1 (Proćków et al., 2013) and 16S ribosomal DNA (16SrDNA: about 375 bp-long), using primers after Simon et al. (1994) and Gantenbein et al. (1999), as well as one nuclear gene fragment: internal transcribed spacer 2 of rDNA (ITS2: a 660 bp fragment enclosing the 5.8 SrDNA and the ITS2), using primers NEWS2 and ITS2RIXO (Almeyda-Arigas et al., 2000). PCRs were performed: for COI - according to the modified protocol prepared by Biodiversity Institute of Ontario for the Consortium for the Barcode of Life (http://barcoding.si.edu/PDF/Protocols_ for_High_Volume_DNA_Barcode_Analysis.pdf), for $16 S r D N A$ and ITS2 - according to procedures as previously described by Manganelli et al. (2005) and Almeyda-Arigas et al. (2000), respectively.All PCR products were run on $1 \%$ agarose gels and sequenced in both directions in an Applied Biosystems Hitachi 3130xl Genetic Analyser automated sequencer.

## Phylogenetic inference

The individual sequences are deposited in GenBank, COI: KM247375-KM247389,

16SrDNA: KM247390-KM247397, and ITS2: KM247398-KM247404 (Appendix 1).
Full-length sequences were aligned and edited by eye using the program BioEdit, version 7.0.5. (Hall, 1999). The alignments were performed using Prank (Löytynoja \& Goldman, 2008) for COI and ITS2, and CLUSTAL-W (Thompson et al., 1994) for $16 S r D N A$. The COI sequences were aligned according to the translated amino acid sequences. The ends of all sequences were trimmed. The length of the sequences after cutting were 635 bp for COI, 267-280 bp for $16 S r D N A$ and about 351 bp for ITS2. Gaps and ambiguous positions were removed from alignments. The sequences were collapsed to haplotypes (COI and 16 SrDNA ), and to common sequences (ITS2) prior to phylogenetic analysis.

The sequences were analysed using the Neighbour-Joining method (Saitou \& Nei, 1987) implemented in MEGA4 (Tamura et al., 2007) using the Kimura two-parameter model (K2P) for pairwise distance calculations (Kimura, 1980). NJ tree branches were supported by bootstrap analysis with 1,000 replicates (Felsenstein, 1985). Finally, Bayesian analysis of the combined COI and 16 SrDNA data set was conducted with MrBayes 3.1.2 (Ronquist \& Huelsenbeck, 2003). Using jModelTest (Darriba et al., 2012) according to Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), we specified a HKY model for our data set, assuming a gamma-shaped rate variation and invariant sites. Four Monte Carlo Markov chains were run for 1 million generations, sampling every 100 generations (the first 250 trees were discarded as 'burn-in'). We obtained a $50 \%$ majority rule consensus tree.

## Results

## Morphological study

Specimens of the second Polish Monacha have a whitish body and a mantle surface little pigmented or with sparse blackish brown spots, the largest of which at the mantle vertex near the opening of the pneumostome and anus. They therefore almost perfectly match the appearance of specimens of populations of M. cartusiana from various sites in other European countries. However, specimens of M. cartusiana from Wrocław (Poland) usually have a darker body, i.e. with slate grey head, neck, tail and foot, and with a well pigmented mantle bearing a blackish
brown ring along the internal surface of its border and a number of large blackish brown spots or stripes on the rest of its surface.
The two Polish Monacha have similar genital structure (Figs 11-23). They share: absence of penial retractor muscle; digitiform glands forming two opposite tufts, each branched into 2-5 branches; vaginal appendix annexed to final tract of distal vagina (sometimes entering genital atrium level with penis) and consisting of wide thick-walled basal portion and slender, thinwalled - apparently glandular - apical portion; rather long cylindrical penial papilla; bursa copulatrix shoe-shaped; transverse section of epiphallus at half its length with two large pilasters, separated by smaller one, opposite four small pointed pleats (the negative of this structure is the transverse section of the spermatophore: Figs 18-21). However they differ in a series of very evident characters, namely: distal vagina (tract between digitiform glands and genital atrium: short in $M$. cartusiana vs long in M. claustralis), vaginal sac (a lateral sac-like diverticulum of distal vagina, first identified by Giusti \& Manganelli, 1987: present in M. cartusiana vs absent in M. claustralis), vaginal appendix (with wide, short, bulbous basal portion and longer, slender apical portion in $M$. cartusiana vs evenly tapered, cone-shaped, with basal longer than apical portion in M. claustralis), flagellum (shorter in M. cartusiana vs longer in M. claustralis) and genital atrium (internally rather smooth or with thin, low pleats in M. cartusiana vs with sort of wide, spongy, slightly raised pleat separating penis from vaginal appendix in M. claustralis). Three of the six characters differ significantly between the two species ( $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{DV}$ ) and one (DV) shows values which do not overlap (Fig. 24, Table 1). Two anatomical characters are therefore important for distinguishing the two Polish species: the vaginal sac and length of distal vagina.

## Molecular study

Fifteen haplotypes (COI 1 - COI 15) of 5'end fragments of mitochondrial COI gene and eight haplotypes (16S 1-16S 8) of fragments of mitochondrial 16SrDNA gene were identified on the basis of analysis of 58 and 44 specimens, respectively. Compared by the NJ method, these sequences resulted in dendrograms with three groups of sequences (Figs 25-26). In each case, the first group included sequences (haplotypes COI 1
and 16 S 1) characteristic of Monacha cantiana from England (loc. $1 \& 2$, see: Appendix 1) and sequences earlier deposited in GenBank for M. cantiana. The second group consisted of eight COI (COI 2 - COI 8, COI 15) and two $16 S r D N A(16 S 2$ and 16 S 8 ) sequences, respectively, obtained from DNA isolated from specimens identified anatomically as M. cartusiana from Kis-Balaton (loc. 3), Wrocław (loc. 4), Prague (loc. 5-7) and Brescia (loc. 15). On the same branch of the dendrogram for $16 S r D N A$ (Fig. 26) a sequence deposited for M. cartusiana in GenBank was located, exactly the same sequence (designated by us as 16 S 8 ) found in the specimen from Brescia (loc. 15). The remaining six COI (COI 9 - COI 14) and five $16 S r D N A$ (16S 2-16S 7) sequences created a third group composed of specimens from Czech Republic (loc. 6-8), Georgia (loc. 9), Poland (loc. 10-13) and Bulgaria (loc. 14). Among them, the specimens from Bulgaria, with sequences COI 12 - COI 14, as well as the specimen from Georgia, with haplotype COI 9, were previously assigned to Monacha claustralis (Irikov, 2008, Hausdorf pers. comm.), suggesting that all specimens grouping with them on the COI dendrogram belong to this species.

K2P distances between COI and $16 S r D N A$ for M. cartusiana ( $0.2-0.6 \%$ for COI, $0.4 \%$ for $16 S r D N A$ ) and M. claustralis ( $0.2-4.1 \%$ for COI, $0.4-2.0 \%$ for $16 S r D N A$ ), respectively, confirmed very similar sequences within each species (Table 2), but they differed much more (12.4-20.7\% for COI, $9.5-24.2 \%$ for $16 S r D N A$ ) from populations assigned to other species. The K2P distances between sequences of COI gene from M. cartusiana and M. claustralis populations (12.2-14.1\%) may be regarded as proof that the specimens belong to separate species according to "barcode" idea (Hebert et al., 2003; Remigio \& Hebert, 2003).

The combined haplotypes of COI and 16 SrDNA (Table 3) analysed with jModeltest were used for Bayesian construction of a phylogenetic tree (Fig. 27). The outcome of this part of phylogenetic inference fully confirmed species delimitation earlier achieved by NJ method (Figs 25-26).
The last molecular analysis was performed on ITS2 dataset consisting of 7 different sequences identified in 27 individuals (Appendix 1) and two sequences derived from GenBank: one of M. cantiana, and another of Trochulus hispidus as outgroup. Phylogenetic analysis (NJ) of these sequences revealed three clades (Fig. 28). The first


Figures 11-12 Distal genitalia of Monacha cartusiana, 11 Italy, Lago di Montepulciano, La Casetta (Siena), G. Manganelli leg. 11.10.1992; 12 Poland, Wrocław, M. Proćków leg. 20.5.2010. 13-14 Distal genitalia of Monacha claustralis, 13 Bulgaria, Plovdiv, A. Irikov leg. 19.9.2006; 14 Poland, Poznań-Morasko, J. Pieńkowska leg. 5.10.2011. Key to acronyms: BC bursa copulatrix, BW body wall, DBC duct of bursa copulatrix, DG digitiform glands, E epiphallus, $\mathbf{F}$ flagellum, FO free oviduct, GA genital atrium, GAR genital atrium retractor muscle, $\mathbf{P}$ penis, OSD ovispermiduct, V vagina, VA vaginal appendix, VD vas deferens, VS vaginal sac


Figures 15-16 Internal structure of the distal genitalia of Monacha cartusiana, 15 Poland, Wrocław, M. Proćków leg. 20.5.2010; 16 Italy, Lago di Montepulciano, La Casetta (Siena), G. Manganelli leg. 11.10.1992. 17 Internal structure of the distal genitalia of Monacha claustralis Poland, Poznań-Morasko, J. Pieńkowska leg. 5.10.2011. Key to acronyms: DP distal penis, for rest of acronyms see Figs 11-14.
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Figures 18, 20 Sections of spermathophores of Monacha cartusiana, 19, 21 sections of the epiphallus of M. cartusiana; 18-19 Poland, Wrocław, M. Proćków leg. 20.5.2010; 20-21 Italy, Lago di Montepulciano, La Casetta (Siena), G. Manganelli leg. 11.10.1992. 22-23 sections of the epiphallus of Monacha claustralis, 22 Poland, Poznań-Morasko, J. Pieńkowska leg. 5.10.2011; 23 Bulgaria, Plovdiv, A. Irikov leg. 19.9.2006


Figure 24 Box plots for DV, F and P values in Monacha claustralis (mcl) and Monacha cartusiana (mca) ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$, Wilcoxon rank sum test). The lower and upper limits of the rectangular boxes indicate the $25^{\text {th }}$ to $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile range, and the horizontal line within the boxes is the median ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile).
group contained a sequence ITS2 1, different by one deletion from the GenBank sequence assigned to M. cantiana. The second group included three slightly different sequences (ITS2 5, ITS2 6 and ITS2 7 which differ in three nucleotides only) found in Georgian (loc. 9), Polish (loc. $11 \& 12$ ) and Bulgarian (loc. 14) specimens assigned to $M$. claustralis. This suggests that this group contains sequences unique for $M$. claustralis. The third group included three other sequences (ITS2 $2-$ ITS2 4) which differed in 2-10 nucleotide sites found in both specimens of M. cartusiana and $M$. claustralis (Appendix 1).

## Discussion

The structure of the distal genitalia confirms that the Monacha specimens from the Polish localities

10-13 (see Appendix 1) correspond to a species different from M. cartusiana, as suggested by previous preliminary reports (Pieńkowska \& Lesicki, 2011; Pieńkowska et al., 2012). Two characters - absence of vaginal sac and length of distal vagina - immediately distinguish this Monacha from M. cartusiana. They also suggest a closer relationship with a group of species from SE Europe and Turkey, including M. carascaloides (Bourguignat 1855; type locality: Gallipoli, Turkey), M. solidior (Mousson 1863: type locality: Bursa, Turkey), M. venusta Pinter 1969 (type locality: "am rechten Ufer des Flusses Ropotamo, etwa 1.5 km östlich von der unteren Brücke", Bulgaria) and M. claustralis (Rossmässler 1834; type locality: Kérkira, Greece). M. carascaloides and M. solidior differ by virtue of vaginal

Table 1 Mean, standard deviation and statistical significance ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) of six anatomical variables in two populations of Monacha claustralis and Monacha cartusiana. The Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to evaluate significant differences between the two species with respect to each variable. Data was log-transformed before performing statistical analysis. Acronyms: DBC duct of bursa copulatrix, DV distal vagina, E epiphallus, F flagellum, P penis, VA vaginal appendix (for details see text)


Table 2 Ranges of K2P genetic distances for COI and 16 SrDNA sequences analysed (mean values in parentheses)

| Comparison | COI (\%) | 16SrDNA (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Within $M$. cartusiana | $0.2-0.6(0.4)$ | $0.4(0.4)$ |
| Withhin $M$. claustralis | $0.2-4.1(2.1)$ | $0.4-2.0(1.2)$ |
| Within $M$. cantiana | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Between $M$. cartusiana and $M$. claustralis | $12.2-14.1(13.1)$ | $9.5-10.4(9.9)$ |
| Between $M$. cartusiana and $M$. cantiana | $18.8-19.8(19.3)$ | $21.0-21.5(21.2)$ |
| Between $M$. claustralis and $M$ cantiana | $18.1-20.7(19.4)$ | $21.0-24.2(22.6)$ |

Table 3 Combined COI and 16SrDNA data sets (locality numbers in parenthesis)

| combined <br> haplotypes | COI | 16SrDNA | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Monacha cantiana | COI 1 | 16S 1 | England: East Acton (1) \& Barrow (2) |
| h1 |  |  |  |
| Monacha cartusiana | COI 3 | 16S 2 | Hungary: Kis-Balaton (3) |
| h2 | COI 4 | 16S 2 | Hungary: Kis-Balaton (3) |
| h3 | COI 15 | 16S 8 | Italy: Brescia (15) |
| h4 | COI 7 | 16S 2 | Poland: Wrocław (4) |
| h5 | COI 5 | 16S 2 | Poland: Wrocław (4) |
| h6 | COI 8 | 16S 2 | Czech Republic: Prague (5, 6, 7) |
| h7 |  |  |  |
| Monacha claustralis | COI 14 | 16S 6 | Bulgaria: Plovdiv (14) |
| h8 | COI 13 | 16S 6 | Bulgaria: Plovdiv (14) |
| h9 | COI 12 | 16S 5 | Bulgaria: Plovdiv (14) |
| h10 | COI 9 | 16S 4 | Czech Republic: Prague (7, 8); Georgia: Saguramo (9) |
| h11 | COI 10 | 16S 3 | Poland: Janikowo (10) |
| h12 | COI 11 | 16S 7 | Poland: Poznań-Cybina (11), Poznań-Morasko (12), Wietrznia (13) |
| h13 |  |  |  |

Figure 25 Neighbour-Joining tree based on the 635-nt-long fragment of COI sequences of three Monacha species: M. cantiana, M. cartusiana and M. claustralis. The COI sequence of Trochulus hispidus HQ204455 (Duda et al., 2011) was used as an outgroup, and M. cantiana HQ204502 (Duda et al., 2011) as a reference sequence. Numbers on branches represent bootstrap support above $50 \%$. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Kimura two-parameter method and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. Codon positions included were $1^{\text {st }}+2^{\text {nd }}+3^{\text {rd }}+$ Noncoding. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated from the dataset (complete deletion option).
Figure 26 Neighbour-Joining tree of 16SrDNA Monacha sequences. Trochulus hispidus HQ204531 (Duda et al., 2011) was chosen as an outgroup. M. cantiana AY741419 (Manganelli et al., 2005), HQ204543 (Duda et al., 2011) and M. cartusiana AY741416 (Manganelli et al., 2005) sequences were used as references. Calculation parameters were the same as for Fig. 25.
Figure 27 Majority-rule consensus tree obtained from Bayesian inference analysis of the combined data set of COI and 16 SrDNA sequences. Posterior probabilities are marked at the nodes. The tree was rooted with T. hispidus HQ20455 \& HQ204531, and M. cantiana HQ204502 \& HQ204543 (Duda et al., 2011) was used as a reference sequence.
Figure 28 NJ tree of Monacha ITS2 sequences, using Trochulus hispidus AY014125 (Wade et al., 2001) as an outgroup, and M. cantiana AY841332 (Wade et al., 2001) as a reference sequence. The method used for calculation of evolutionary distances and tree reliability were the same as in Fig. 25.
appendix entering distal vagina medially ( $M$. carascaloides, see: Hausdorf, 2000a: Fig. 17) or proximally (M. solidior, see: Irikov, 2008: Figs 1, 5), whereas M. venusta has an even longer vagina and a very slender vaginal appendix, without widened basal portion (Irikov, 2008: Fig. 6) and the fourth species, M. claustralis, is identical to the Monacha species new for Poland.

Direct examination of specimens of M. claustralis from Plovdiv (Bulgaria) confirmed this: although the study was based on specimens preserved directly in spirit (the various genital tracts may have undergone contraction and shortening), all the data, qualitative and quantitative, was consistent (Figs 1-5, 13-14, 17; Table 1). This only partly confirms what Hausdorf (2000a: 80; 2000b: 1586) and Welter-Schultes (2012: 505) stated, i.e. that M. claustralis is distinct from M. cartusiana by virtue of a shorter epiphallus (penis ratio 2.0-2.3in M. claustralis, 2.3-3.5in M. cartusiana; vagina ratio 0.6-1.8in M. claustralis, 1.5-2.6in M. cartusiana). Indeed, we found an $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{P}$ ratio of $1.3 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{in}$ M. claustralis and $1.6 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{in}$ M. cartusiana and an E/DV ratio of $0.8 \pm 0.1$ in M. claustralis and $2.1 \pm 0.3$ in M. cartusiana. Incidentally, we agree with Hausdorf (2000a, 2000b) when he stated that Figs 374-376 by Schileyko (1978) concern M. claustralis not M. cartusiana.
Identification of the Monacha species new for Poland as M. claustralis was also confirmed by molecular studies. Our molecular results make it possible to report M. claustralis from the Czech Republic where it was formerly misidentified as M. cantiana (Hlaváč \& Peltanová, 2010). The nucleotide sequences of mitochondrial (COI, $16 S r D N A$ ) and nuclear (ITS2) gene fragments (Figs 25-28) from specimens of Polish localities created common groups with specimens from Plovdiv (Bulgaria), Saguramo (Georgia) and some localities in Prague (Czech Republic). While in the case of COI and $16 S r D N A$ fragment analysis, these groups were clearly distinguished from the groups composed of sequences from specimens identified as M. cartusiana (from Polish, Czech, Hungarian and Italian localities as well as from GenBank) in both dendrograms, analysis of ITS2 sequences did not allow clear separation of M. cartusiana and M. claustralis. This is probably connected with lower variability of nuclear gene sequences than mitochondrial ones what may result from faster evolution of mitochondrial genome than the nuclear one (Remigio \& Hebert,
2003). Similar suggestions were previously given in the studies of species complexes in Conus orbignyi Audouin 1831 (Puillandre et al., 2011) or T. hispidus (Linnaeus 1758) (Kruckenhauser et al., 2014) as well as of species delimitation in the genus Stagnicola Jeffreys 1830 (Pieńkowska et al., 2015).
M. claustralis, widespread in the southern sector of the Balkan and Anatolian peninsulas and apparently related (at least from a morphological point of view) to a group of species with a similar distribution (Hausdorf, 2000a; Irikov \& Mollov, 2006; Irikov, 2008), is recognized by Hausdorf (2000a: 82) as "especially synanthropical". It can be considered certain that its distribution has been progressively enlarged by anthropochorous dispersal. This was made evident by its recent arrival in Poland and especially by the fact that the populations from widely distant sites, examined here, revealed remarkable molecular affinity.
This molecular affinity and the constancy of morphological characters of the $M$. claustralis specimens from widely distant sites also diminish the importance of studying fresh topotypical materials which were presently unavailable to us. Future research will nevertheless tackle this problem to exclude any doubt as to species identity. The study of topotypical material of M. subobstructa Bourguinat 1855 (locus typicus: "Beicos", Turkey) and M. oshanovae Pinter \& Pinter 1970 (locus typicus: Skrât, westllich von Petrič, Struma Gebiet, Bulgaria) is also necessary to confirm the synonymization with $M$. claustralis proposed by Hausdorf (2000a), and by Irikov (2008) and Welter-Schultes (2012), respectively.
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Appendix 1 Material examined for morphological and molecular study

| No. | coordinates | localities description ${ }^{1}$ | collection data: collector / date / no. of specimens (in collection ${ }^{2}$ ) | species | COI / GenBank \#\# (number of specimens) | ene fragment sequenc 16SrDNA/ GenBank <br> \#\# (number of specimens) | ITS2/ GenBank \#\# (number of specimens) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 51^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 0^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | United Kingdom, East Acton near London | M. Proćków <br> / 7.6.2010 <br> / 2 specimens (DCBC) | Monacha cantiana (Montagu 1803) | COI 1 / KM247375 (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16S } 1 / \\ & \text { KM247390 (1) } \end{aligned}$ | - |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 53^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 1^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | United Kingdom, Barrow near Barnsley | R.A.D. Cameron <br> / 10.2011 <br> / 2 specimens (FGC) | Monacha cantiana (Montagu 1803) | COI 1 / KM247375 (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16S } 1 / \\ & \text { KM247390 (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITS2 } 1 / \\ & \text { KM247398 (2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 46^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 17^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | Hungary, KisBalaton, about 30m from the Zala Canal on the underside of goldenrod leaves in the scrub-field | J.R. Pieńkowska <br> / 31.7.2011 <br> / 8 specimens (DCBC) | Monacha cartusiana (Müller 1774) | COI 2 / <br> KM247376 (1) COI 3 / <br> KM247377 (1) COI 4 / <br> KM247378 (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16S } 2 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM247391 (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITS2 } 3 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM247400 (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 51^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 03.34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 16^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 52.83^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | SW Poland, Wrocław, the area around the railway tracks | M. Proćków / 20.5.2010, 15.9.2010, 22.9.2011 <br> / 26 shells, 29 specimens <br> (DCBC and FGC) | Monacha cartusiana (Müller 1774) | COI 5 / <br> KM247379 (7) <br> COI 6 / <br> KM247380 (1) <br> COI 7 / <br> KM247381 (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16S } 2 / \\ & \text { KM247391 (7) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITS2 } 2 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM247399 (4) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 50^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 14^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | Czech Republic, Prague, Horni Počernice district, near Sezemická Street | J.R. Pieńkowska <br> / 3.8.2011 <br> / 66 specimens (DCBC) | Monacha cartusiana (Müller 1774) | COI 8 / <br> KM247382 (5) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16S } 2 / \\ & \text { KM247391 (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITS2 } 3 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM247400 (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 50^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 13.86^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 14^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 47.60^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | Czech Republic, Prague, Horni Počernice district, fallow lands in the area of the National Museum | J.R. Pieńkowska <br> / 3.8.2011 <br> / 36 specimens (DCBC) | Monacha claustralis (Rossmässler 1834) and Monacha cartusiana (Müller 1774) | COI 9 / <br> KM247383 (1) <br> COI 8 / <br> KM247382 (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16S } 2 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM247391 (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITS2 } 3 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM247400 (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 50^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 18.65^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 14^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 50.65^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | Czech Republic, Prague, Horni Počernice district, grassy patches in the area of the National Museum | D. Pieńkowski <br> / 4.8.2011 <br> / 31 specimens (DCBC) | Monacha claustralis (Rossmässler 1834) (Hlaváč \& Peltanová, 2010: as M. cantiana) and Monacha cartusiana (Müller 1774) | COI 9 / <br> KM247383 (2) <br> COI 8 / <br> KM247382 (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16S } 4 / \\ & \text { KM247393 (2) } \\ & \\ & \text { 16S } 2 / \\ & \text { KM247391 (1) } \end{aligned}$ | ITS2 3 / KM247400 (1) <br> ITS2 3 / <br> KM247400 <br> (1) |


| No. | coordinates | localities description ${ }^{1}$ | collection data: collector / date / no. of specimens (in collection ${ }^{2}$ ) | species | COI / GenBank \#\# (number of specimens) | ene fragment sequenc 16SrDNA/ GenBank <br> \#\# (number of specimens) | ITS2/ GenBank \#\# (number of specimens) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 50^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 21.72^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 14^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 44.16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | Czech Republic, Prague, Horni Počernice district, grassy patches in the area of the National Museum | J.R. Pieńkowska <br> / 4.8.2011 <br> / 5 specimens (DCBC) | Monacha claustralis (Rossmässler 1834) (Hlaváč \& Peltanová, 2010: as M. cantiana) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI } 9 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM247383 (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16S } 4 / \\ & \text { KM247393 (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITS2 } 3 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM247400 (2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 44^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | Georgia, Saguramo, Mtskheta-Mtianeti, between village and cemetery, 650 m a.s.l | M.T. Neiber \& F. Walther / 3.10.2011 <br> / 1 specimen (ZMH 86012) | Monacha claustralis (Rossmässler 1834) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI } 9 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM247383 (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16S } 4 / \\ & \text { KM247393 (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITS2 } 6 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM277403 (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 52^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 35.44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 18^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 52.23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | Central Poland, Janikowo near Inowrocław, gravel pit | J.R. Pieńkowska \& E. <br> Rybska <br> / 5.4.2011 <br> / 32 shells, 11 specimens <br> (DCBC) <br> J.R. Pieńkowska <br> / 21.9.2011 <br> / 90 specimens (DCBC) | Monacha claustralis (Rossmässler 1834) (Lesicki \& KoralewskaBatura, 2007: as M. cartusiana) | COI 10 / KM247384 (6) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16S } 3 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM247392 (1) } \end{aligned}$ | - |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 52^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 41.11^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 16^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 03.12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | W Poland, PoznańCybina, banks of the Cybina River at the mouth of the Warta River | J.R. Pieńkowska <br> / 28.8.2011 <br> / 10 shells, 12 specimens <br> (DCBC) | Monacha claustralis (Rossmässler 1834) (Cholewa et al., 2003: as M. cartusiana) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI } 11 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM247385 (8) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16S } 4 / \\ & \text { KM247393 (1) } \\ & \text { 16S } 7 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM247396 (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITS2 } 6 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM277403 (2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 52^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 57.35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 16^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 22.60^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | W Poland, PoznańMorasko, fallow lands around the bike path to academic campus | A. Lesicki \& J.R. Pieńkowska / 23.8.2010-5.10.2011 <br> / 54 shells, 30 specimens <br> (DCBC and FGC) | Monacha claustralis (Rossmässler 1834) (Lesicki \& KoralewskaBatura, 2007: as M. cartusiana) | COI 11 / KM247385 (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16S } 7 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM247396 (8) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITS2 } 5 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM277402 (2) } \\ & \text { ITS2 } 6 / \\ & \text { KM277403 (3) } \\ & \text { ITS2 } 7 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM277404 (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 50^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 17.02^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 20^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 24.38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | S Poland, Wietrznia near Kielce, old quarry on the mountainside Wietrznia | M. Górka <br> / 30.5.2012 <br> / 19 specimens (DCBC) | Monacha claustralis (Rossmässler 1834) (Górka, 2005: as M. cartusiana) | COI 11 / KM247385 (5) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16S } 4 / \\ & \text { KM247393 (5) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITS2 } 4 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM277401 (2) } \end{aligned}$ |


| No. | coordinates | localities description ${ }^{1}$ | collection data: collector / date / no. of specimens (in collection ${ }^{2}$ ) | species | COI / GenBank \#\# (number of specimens) | ene fragment sequenc 16SrDNA/ GenBank \#\# (number of specimens) | es <br> ITS2/ GenBank \#\# (number of specimens) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 42^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 35.72^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 24^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 59.51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | Bulgaria, Plovdiv | A. Irikov <br> / 19.9.2006 <br> / 4 specimens (AIC) | Monacha claustralis (Rossmässler 1834) (det. M. dissimulans) | COI 12 / <br> KM247386 (1) COI 13 / <br> KM247387 (1) COI 14 / <br> KM247388 (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \mathrm{~S} 5 / \\ & \text { KM247394 (1) } \\ & \text { 16S } 6 / \\ & \text { KM247395 (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITS2 } 6 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM277403 (2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 45^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 10^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | N Italy Brescia, Anfo towards Ponte Caffaro, calcareous rocks at branch towards Tre Casali, 400 m a.s.l. | B. Hausdorf <br> / 19.8.2009 <br> / 1 specimen (ZMH <br> 51710) | Monacha cartusiana (Müller 1774) | COI 15 / KM247389 (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16S } 8 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM247397 (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITS2 } 3 \text { / } \\ & \text { KM247400 (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 43^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 11^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | Central Italy, Lago di Montepulciano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G. Manganelli } \\ & \text { / 11.10.1992 } \\ & \text { / } 9 \text { specimens (FGC) } \end{aligned}$ | Monacha cartusiana (Müller 1774) | - | - | - |

[^1]
[^0]:    Contact author : pienkowj@amu.edu.pl

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Detailed description of the vegetation at some localities (nos. 7, 8, 10-13) was published earlier (Cholewa et al., 2003; Górka, 2005; Lesicki \& KoralewskaBatura, 2007; Hlaváč \& Peltanová, 2010).
    ${ }^{2}$ Acronyms of institutions where voucher specimens are kept: AIC A. Irikov coll. (University of Plovdiv, Bulgaria), DCBC Department of Cell Biology coll. (Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland), FGC F. Giusti coll. (University of Siena, Italy), ZMH Zoologisches Museum der Universität Hamburg (Germany)

